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Abstract—The Furuta pendulum, or rotational inverted pendulum, is a system found in many control labs. It provides a compact yet impressive platform for control demonstrations and draws the attention of the control community as a platform for the development of non-linear control laws. Despite the popularity of the platform there are very few papers which employ the correct dynamics and only one that derives the full system dynamics. In this paper the full dynamics of the Furuta pendulum are derived using two methods; a Lagrangian formulation and an iterative Newton-Euler formulation. Approximations are made to the full dynamics which converge to the more commonly presented expressions. The system dynamics are then linearised using a Jacobian. To illustrate the influence the commonly neglected inertia terms have on the system dynamics, a brief example is offered.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Furuta pendulum consists of a driven arm which rotates in the horizontal plane and a pendulum attached to that arm which is free to rotate in the vertical plane (Fig 1). The system is underactuated and extremely non-linear due to the gravitational forces and the coupling arising from the Coriolis and centripetal forces.

The pendulum was first developed at the Tokyo Institute of Technology by Katsuhisa Furuta [1-4] and his colleagues. Since then, dozens, possibly hundreds of papers and theses have used the system to demonstrate linear and non-linear control laws [5,6]. The system has also been the subject of two texts [7,8]. Despite the great deal of attention the system has received, very few publications successfully derive (or use) the full dynamics. Many authors [3,7] have only considered the rotational inertia of the pendulum for a single principal axis (or neglected it altogether [8]). In other words, the inertia tensor only has a single non-zero element (or none), and the remaining two diagonal terms are zero. It is possible to find a pendulum system where the moment of inertia in one the three principal axes is approximately zero, but not two.

A few authors [2,4,5,9-11] have considered slender symmetric pendulums where the moments of inertia for two of the principal axes are equal and the remaining moment of inertia is zero. Of the dozens of publications surveyed for this paper only a single conference paper [12] and journal paper [13] were found to include all three principal inertial terms of the pendulum. Both papers used a Lagrangian formulation but each contained minor errors (presumably typographical).

In a hope of ensuring that future papers on the Furuta pendulum use the correct dynamics, this paper presents a definitive study of the system. The system dynamics for a pendulum with a full inertia tensor using a Lagrangian formulation are presented and then an alternative derivation using an iterative Newton-Euler approach is presented, which to the authors’ knowledge is the first correct derivation using either of these techniques. Following on from this, approximations are made to the governing equations for long slender pendulums which lead to a more compact form (which are commonly incorrectly presented in the literature). Finally, the linearised state equations for the mechanical system and the coupled electro-mechanical system are presented.
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It should be noted that in the derivations that follow, the Symbolic Toolbox in Matlab was used. The final expressions were also independently validated using kinematical models using the SimMechanics Toolbox (in Simulink).

2. FUNDAMENTALS

2.1 Definitions

Consider the rotational inverted pendulum mounted to a DC motor as shown in Figure 1. The DC motor is used to apply a torque $\tau_1$ to Arm 1. The link between Arm 1 and Arm 2 is not actuated but free to rotate. The two arms have lengths $L_1$ and $L_2$. The arms have masses $m_1$ and $m_2$ which are located at $l_1$ and $l_2$ respectively, which are the lengths from the point of rotation of the arm to its center of mass. The arms have inertia tensors $J_1$ and $J_2$ (about the centre of mass of the arm). Each rotational joint is viscously damped with damping coefficients $b_1$ and $b_2$, where $b_1$ is the damping provided by the motor bearings and $b_2$ is the damping arising from the pin coupling between Arm 1 and Arm 2.

A right hand coordinate system has been used to define the inputs, states and the Cartesian coordinate systems 1 and 2. The coordinate axes of Arm 1 and Arm 2 are the principal axes such that the inertia tensors are diagonal of the form

![Fig. 1. Schematic of the single rotary inverted pendulum system.](image-url)
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\[
\begin{pmatrix}
J_{1xx} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{1yy} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & J_{1zz}
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and}
\quad
\begin{pmatrix}
J_{2xx} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{2yy} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & J_{2zz}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The angular rotation of Arm 1, \( \theta_1 \), is measured in the horizontal plane where a counter-clockwise direction (when viewed from above) is positive. The angular rotation of Arm 2, \( \theta_2 \), is measured in the vertical plane where a counter-clockwise direction (when viewed from the front) is positive. When Arm 2 is hanging down in the stable equilibrium position \( \theta_2 = 0 \).

The torque the servo-motor applies to Arm 1, \( \tau_1 \), is positive in a counter-clockwise direction (when viewed from above). A disturbance torque, \( \tau_2 \), is experienced by Arm 2, where a counter-clockwise direction (when viewed from the front) is positive.

### 2.2 Assumptions

Before deriving the dynamics of the system a number of assumptions must be made. These are:

- The motor shaft and Arm 1 are assumed to be rigidly coupled and infinitely stiff.
- Arm 2 is assumed to be infinitely stiff.
- The coordinate axes of Arm 1 and Arm 2 are the principal axes such that the inertia tensors are diagonal.
- The motor rotor inertia is assumed to be negligible. However, this term may be easily added to the moment of inertia of Arm 1.
- Only viscous damping is considered. All other forms of damping (such as Coulomb) have been neglected, however it is a simple exercise to add this to the final governing DE.
3. LAGRANGIAN FORMULATION USING TENSORS

A Lagrangian formulation of the system dynamics of the mechanical system is now presented using a tensor notation, which makes for an elegant and compact solution.

3.1 Rotation Matrices

First define two rotation matrices which are used in both the Lagrange and Newton-Euler formulations. The rotation matrix from the base to Arm 1 is

\[
\mathbf{R}_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\theta_1) & \sin(\theta_1) & 0 \\
-\sin(\theta_1) & \cos(\theta_1) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The rotation matrix from Arm 1 to Arm 2 is derived by initially applying a (diagonal) matrix to that maps the frame 1 to frame 2, followed by a rotation matrix for \( \theta_2 \), given by

\[
\mathbf{R}_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\theta_2) & \sin(\theta_2) & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-\sin(\theta_2) & \cos(\theta_2) & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \sin(\theta_2) & -\cos(\theta_2) \\
0 & \cos(\theta_2) & \sin(\theta_2) \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

3.2 Velocities

The angular velocity of Arm 1 is given by

\[
\mathbf{\omega}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dot{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

Let the velocity of the base frame be at rest, such that the joint between the frame and Arm 1 is also at rest, ie

\[
\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

The total linear velocity of the centre of mass of Arm 1 is given by

\[
\mathbf{v}_{1c} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{\omega}_1 \times \left[ l_1 \ 0 \ 0 \right]^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dot{\theta}_1 l_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]
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The angular velocity of Arm 2 is given by
\[ \omega_2 = R_2 \omega_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\theta_2)\dot{\theta}_1 & \sin(\theta_2)\dot{\theta}_1 & \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}. \]

The velocity of the joint between Arm 1 and Arm 2 in reference frame 1 is
\[ v_2 = R_2 (\omega_1 \times [L_1, 0, 0]^T), \]
which in reference frame 2 (that of Arm 2) gives
\[ v_2 = R_2 (\omega_1 \times [L_1, 0, 0]^T) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 L_1 \sin(\theta_2) \\ \dot{\theta}_1 L_1 \cos(\theta_2) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

The total linear velocity of the centre of mass of Arm 2 is given by
\[ v_{2c} = v_2 + \omega_2 \times [l_2, 0, 0]^T \]
\[ = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 L_1 \sin(\theta_2) \\ \dot{\theta}_1 L_1 \cos(\theta_2) + \dot{\theta}_2 l_2 \\ -\dot{\theta}_2 \sin(\theta_2) \end{bmatrix}. \]

### 3.3 Energies

The potential energy of Arm 1 is
\[ E_{p1} = 0 \]
and the kinetic energy is
\[ E_{k1} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{1c}^T m_1 v_{1c} + \omega_1^T J_1 \omega_1) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_1^2 (m_1 l_1^2 + J_{1zz}) \]

The potential energy of Arm 2 is
\[ E_{p2} = gm_2 l_2 (1 - \cos(\theta_2)) \]
and the kinetic energy is
\[ E_{k2} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{2c}^T m_2 v_{2c} + \omega_2^T J_2 \omega_2) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_1^2 (m_2 L_2^2 + (m_2 l_2^2 + J_{2zz}) \sin^2(\theta_2) + J_{2zx} \cos^2(\theta_2)) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_2^2 (J_{2zz} + m_2 l_2^2) + m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \]

The total potential and kinetic energies are given respectively by
\[ E_p = E_{p1} + E_{p2} \]
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\[ E_k = E_{k1} + E_{k2} \]

### 3.4 Lagrangian

The Lagrangian is the difference in kinetic and potential energies,

\[ L = E_k - E_p. \]

From this we obtain the Euler-Lagrange equation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) + b_i \dot{q}_i - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = Q_i,
\]

where \( q_i = [\theta_1, \theta_2]^T \) is the generalised coordinate, \( b_i = [b_1, b_2]^T \) is a generalised viscous damping coefficient and \( Q_i = [r_1, r_2]^T \) is the generalised force (torque).

Evaluating the terms of the Euler-Lagrange equation for both \( q_i = \theta_1 \) & \( \theta_2 \) gives

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_1} \right) = \ddot{\theta}_1 \left( J_{zz} + m_2 l_2^2 \right) + \ddot{\theta}_2 \left( m_2 l_2^2 + J_{2yy} \right) \sin^2(\theta_2) + \frac{m_2 l_2}{2} \cos(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2^2
\]

\[
+ m_2 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2 - m_2 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2^2
\]

\[
+ \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) \left( m_2 l_2^2 + J_{2yy} - J_{2xx} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_2} \right) = \ddot{\theta}_2 \left( J_{zz} + m_2 l_2^2 \right) - \ddot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \left( m_2 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) \right),
\]

\[- \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_1} = 0,
\]

\[- \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_2} = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_1^2 \sin(2\theta_2) \left( m_2 l_2^2 + J_{2yy} - J_{2xx} \right)
\]

\[ + \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \left( m_2 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) + g m_2 l_2 \right).\]
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### 3.5 Equations of Motion

Substituting the previous terms into the Euler-Lagrange equation the following simultaneous differential equations are obtained

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_1 + \left( J_{1z} + m_1 l_1^2 + m_2 l_2^2 \right) \sin^2 (\theta_2) \\
+ J_{1x} \cos^2 (\theta_2) \\
+ \dot{\theta}_2 m_2 L_2 l_2 \cos (\theta_2) - m_2 L_2 l_2 \sin (\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2^2 \\
+ \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \sin (2\theta_2) (m_2 l_2^2 + J_{2yy} - J_{2xx}) + b_2 \dot{\theta}_1 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

which is the very similar to the expressions derived by Awtar et al. [12, 13] once the different reference frame for Arm 2 is accounted for. The only obvious difference is that in Eqns (11) and (12) in [13] the signs of the terms \( \ddot{\theta}_2 m_2 L_2 l_2 \cos (\theta_2) - m_2 L_2 l_2 \sin (\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2^2 \) and \( \ddot{\theta}_1 m_2 L_2 l_2 \cos (\theta_2) + m_2 L_2 l_2 \sin (\theta_2) \) are opposite to those presented here. Their subsequent expression (14) in terms of \( \alpha \) is also incorrect with a minus sign instead of a multiplication sign. Their expression (15) is correct.

### 4. Iterative Newton-Euler Formulation to the System Dynamics

In this section an iterative Newton-Euler approach is used to derive the plant dynamics. There are many texts that describe this method. The formulation presented in Craig [14] has been adopted here.

#### 4.1 Outward Iteration

First the position, velocity and acceleration of the centre of mass of Arm 1 and Arm 2 is calculated. From this the forces and moments acting at the centre of the masses may be calculated.
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4.1.1 Outward Iteration for Arm 1

The angular velocity and acceleration of Arm 1 is given by $\omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dot{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\ddot{\omega}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ddot{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix}^T$ respectively.

The effect of the gravity on the arms is simply included by setting the acceleration of the base frame to $g$ in the opposite direction as the gravity vector. In other words the base is accelerating upwards at exactly $1g$ which has the same effect as gravity. The linear acceleration due to gravity acting on the joint of Arm 1 is given by $\mathbf{v}_1 = R_1 [0 \ 0 \ g]^T = [0 \ 0 \ g]^T$, where $g$ is the gravitational acceleration.

The total linear acceleration of the centre of mass of Arm 1 is given by

$$ \mathbf{v}_{1c} = \omega_1 \times [l_1 \ 0 \ 0]^T + \omega_1 \times (\omega_1 \times [l_1 \ 0 \ 0]^T) + \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -l_1 \ddot{\theta}_1^2 & l_1 \dot{\theta}_1 & g \end{bmatrix}^T, $$

where the first term is a centripetal acceleration, the second is simply due to the rotational acceleration of the arm, and the third term is due to gravity.

Therefore the force vector acting on the centre of mass of Arm 1 is given by

$$ \mathbf{F}_1 = m_1 \mathbf{v}_{1c} = m_1 \begin{bmatrix} -l_1 \ddot{\theta}_1^2 & l_1 \dot{\theta}_1 & g \end{bmatrix}^T. $$

The moment vector is given by

$$ \mathbf{N}_1 = J_1 \ddot{\theta}_1 + \omega_1 \times J_1 \times \omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dot{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix}^T. $$

4.1.2 Outward Iteration for Arm 2

The process is now repeated for Arm 2. The angular velocity of Arm 2 is given by

$$ \omega_2 = R_2 \omega_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 & \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 & \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}^T. $$

The angular acceleration of Arm 2 is given by

$$ \ddot{\omega}_2 = R_2 \ddot{\omega}_1 + R_2 \omega_1 \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ddot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\theta_2) \ddot{\theta}_1 + \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 & \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 + \cos(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 & \ddot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}. $$
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The linear acceleration at the joint of Arm 2 is given by

\[ \ddot{v}_2 = R_2 \left( \omega_1 \times [L_1, 0, 0]^T + \omega_1 \times \left( \omega_1 \times [L_1, 0, 0]^T \right) + \ddot{v}_1 \right) \]

\[ = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 L_1 - \cos(\theta_2) g \\ \cos(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 L_1 + \sin(\theta_2) g \\ \dot{\theta}_2^2 L_1 \end{bmatrix} \]

The total linear acceleration of the centre of mass of Arm 2 is given by

\[ \ddot{v}_{2c} = \dot{\omega}_2 \times [l_2, 0, 0]^T + \omega_2 \times \left( \omega_2 \times [l_2, 0, 0]^T \right) + \ddot{v}_2 \]

\[ = \begin{bmatrix} -\dot{\theta}_2^2 \sin^2(\theta_2) l_2 - \dot{\theta}_2^2 l_2 + \dot{\theta}_1 \sin(\theta_2) L_1 - \cos(\theta_2) g \\ \dot{\theta}_2 l_2 - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_2^2 l_2 \sin(2\theta_2) + \cos(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 L_1 + \sin(\theta_2) g \\ -l_2 \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_1 - 2\dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \cos(\theta_2) - \dot{\theta}_1^2 L_1 \end{bmatrix} \]

The expressions become considerably more complicated from this point and are no longer expanded.

The force vector acting on the centre of mass of Arm 2 is given by \( \mathbf{F}_2 = m_2 \ddot{v}_{2c} \). The moment vector on Arm 2 is given by \( \mathbf{N}_2 = \mathbf{J}_2 \dot{\omega}_2 + \omega_2 \times \mathbf{J}_2 \times \omega_2 \).

### 4.2 Inward Iteration

Now that all the forces and moments acting on the centres of masses of the two arms have been calculated, the forces and moments that the arms exert on each other may be derived.

#### 4.2.1 Inward Iteration for Arm 2

The force and moment that Arm 2 exerts on Arm 1 is given by \( \mathbf{f}_2 = \mathbf{F}_2 \) and \( \mathbf{n}_2 = \mathbf{N}_2 + [l_2, 0, 0]^T \times \mathbf{F}_2 \) respectively, where the first term is the direct moment on Arm 2 and the second term is the moment on Arm 1 due to the coupling force exerted by Arm 2.

#### 4.2.2 Inward Iteration for Arm 1

The force that Arm 1 exerts on the base is given by \( \mathbf{f}_1 = \mathbf{R}_2^T \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{F}_1 \) where the first term is the force applied by Arm 2 on to Arm 1, then rotated to the based frame coordinate.
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system. The second term is the force experienced by the mass of Arm 1. The moment that Arm 1 exerts on the base is given by

\[ \mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2^T \mathbf{n}_2 + \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \times \mathbf{F}_1 \]

\[ + \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \times \mathbf{R}_2^T \mathbf{r}_2, \]

where the first term is the moment experienced by the mass of Arm 1, the second term is the moment of Arm 2 transferred to Arm 1 rotated in to the appropriate frame, the third term is the moment arising from the force experienced at the centre of mass of Arm 1, and the fourth term is the moment acting from the coupling force between Arm 1 and Arm 2.

### 4.3 The Equations of Motion

The equations of motion of coupled system are therefore given by the moment balance acting on the two arms, i.e.

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \hat{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{n}_2 \cdot \hat{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \\ b_2 \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}, \]

where \( \hat{z}_i \) is the unit vector in the direction of the z-axis for each coordinate frame. When evaluated the above expression gives

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_1 + \left( J_{zz} + m_1 l_1^2 + m_2 L_1^2 \right) \sin^2(\theta_2) \\
+ J_{xx} \cos^2(\theta_2) \\
+ \dot{\theta}_2 \left( m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) - m_2 L_1 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2^2 \right) \\
+ \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) \left( m_2 l_2^2 + J_{yy} - J_{xx} \right) + b_1 \dot{\theta}_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_1 m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) + \dot{\theta}_2 \left( m_2 l_2^2 + J_{zz} \right) \\
+ \gamma \dot{\theta}_2^2 \sin(2\theta_2) \left( -m_2 l_2^2 - J_{yy} + J_{xx} \right) \\
+ b_2 \dot{\theta}_2 + g m_2 l_2 \sin(\theta_2)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

which is the same as derived previously.

### 5. SIMPLIFICATIONS

Most Furuta pendulums tend to have long slender arms, such that the moment of inertia along the axis of the arms is negligible. In addition, most arms have rotational symmetry such that the moments of inertia in two of the principal axes are equal. Thus, the inertia tensors may be approximated as follows:
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\[
\mathbf{J}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} J_{1xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{1yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{1zz} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbf{J}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} J_{2xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{2yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{2zz} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

Further simplifications are obtained by making the following substitutions. The total moment of inertia of Arm 1 about the pivot point (using the parallel axis theorem) is

\[
\dot{J}_1 = J_1 + m_1 l_1^2.
\]

The total moment of inertia of Arm 2 about its pivot point is

\[
\dot{J}_2 = J_2 + m_2 l_2^2.
\]

Finally, define the total moment of inertia the motor rotor experiences when the pendulum (Arm 2) is in its equilibrium position (hanging vertically down),

\[
\dot{J}_0 = \dot{J}_1 + m_2 L_1^2 = J_1 + m_1 l_1^2 + m_2 L_1^2.
\]

Substituting the previous definitions into the governing DEs gives the more compact form

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_1 (\dot{J}_0 + \dot{J}_2 \sin^2(\theta_2)) + \dot{\theta}_2 m_2 L_2 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) \\
-m_2 L_2 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2^2 + \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \dot{J}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) + b \dot{\theta}_1 \\
\dot{\theta}_1 m_2 L_2 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) + \dot{\theta}_2 \dot{J}_2 - \gamma \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{J}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) \\
+ b \dot{\theta}_2 + gm_2 l_2 \sin(\theta_2)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

This expression is the same as derived by Iwase et al [4] and almost identical to Akesson et al [5], with the exception of the damping terms and the disturbance torque (which is neglected in their analysis). It should be noted that in [4] the term \( J_{1xx} \) is defined as the moment of inertia of Arm 1 with respect to the centre of gravity but this is incorrect and should be with respect to its pivot. In [5], it is not clear how the moments of inertia \( J \) and \( J_p \) are defined, but these need to be with respect to the pivot points to be correct. The simplified expression is also similar to that derived by Baba et al. [11] (after accounting for the different reference frame), with the exception of the sign of the term \( m_2 L_1 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) \dot{\theta}_2^2 \) which is opposite (and incorrect). The simplified
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derivations of [9] and [10] differ because of an erroneous $\frac{1}{2}$ term in the off-diagonal elements of the mass matrix.

It should be noted that the above differential equation differs slightly with that derived by almost all others including Furuta et al, as well as the texts by Fantoni & Lozano [7] and by Egeland & Gravdahl [8], because of the full inertia tensor employed here. The upper equation has the additional terms $J_2 (\ddot{\theta}_1 \dot{\theta}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) + \ddot{\theta}_1 \sin^2(\theta_2))$. The second equation has the extra term $-\frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_1^2 J_2 \sin^2(\theta_2)$. Fortunately, the form of the equations is still the same and consequently the non-linear control laws derived by previous authors are still valid, although their simulated results may not be.

These two simultaneous equations can be solved in terms of the angular acceleration of Arm 1 and Arm 2, as given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\theta}_1 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_0 + J_2 \sin^2(\theta_2) & m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) \\ m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) & J_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \times \begin{bmatrix} b_1 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_2 \dot{J}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) \\ -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}_1 \dot{J}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{gmL_2}{2} \sin(\theta_2) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

With some manipulation, the final expressions for the two angular accelerations are:

$$\ddot{\theta}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\dot{J}_2 b_1 \\ m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) b_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \dot{J}_2 \sin(2\theta_2) \\ -\frac{1}{2} \dot{J}_2 m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) \sin(2\theta_2) \\ \dot{J}_2 m_2 L_1 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \ddot{\theta}_1 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \dot{J}_2 \\ -m_2 L_1 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) \\ \frac{1}{2} m_2^2 L_2^2 \cos(2\theta_2) \\ \frac{1}{2} m_2^2 L_1^2 \sin(2\theta_2) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ g \end{bmatrix} ,$$

$$\ddot{\theta}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} J_0 \dot{J}_2 + \dot{J}_2^2 \sin^2(\theta_2) - m_2^2 L_2^2 \cos^2(\theta_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

and
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\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    m_2 l_1 \cos(\theta_2) b_1 \\
    -b_2 (J_0 + J_z \sin^2(\theta_2)) \\
    m_2 l_1 J_z \cos(\theta_2) \sin(2\theta_2) \\
    -\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta_2) [J_0 + J_z \sin^2(\theta_2)] \\
    -\frac{1}{2} m_2^2 l_1^2 \sin(2\theta_2) \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    \dot{\theta}_1 \\
    \dot{\theta}_2 \\
    \dot{\theta}_1 \\
    \dot{\theta}_2 \\
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
    -m_2 l_2 \cos(\theta_2) \\
    -J_0 + J_z \sin^2(\theta_2) \\
    -m_2 l_2 \sin(\theta_2) [J_0 + J_z \sin^2(\theta_2)] \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    \tau_1 \\
    \tau_2 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \left( J_0 J_z + J_z^2 \sin^2(\theta_2) - m_2^2 l_1^2 \cos^2(\theta_2) \right)
\]

6. LINEARISED STATE EQUATIONS FOR SIMPLIFIED SYSTEM

The linearised equations of motion for the simplified system are now derived for the two equilibrium positions: upright and downward.

6.1 Upright Position

Linearising the simplified expressions about the upright equilibrium position:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_{1e} &= 0, \\
\theta_{2e} &= \pi, \\
\dot{\theta}_{1e} &= 0, \\
\dot{\theta}_{2e} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

using a Jacobian linearisation, the following linearised state equations about the upright position are obtained,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \dot{\theta}_1 \\
    \dot{\theta}_2 \\
    \ddot{\theta}_1 \\
    \ddot{\theta}_2 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
    A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\
    A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    \theta_1 \\
    \theta_2 \\
    \dot{\theta}_1 \\
    \dot{\theta}_2 \\
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
    0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 \\
    B_{31} & B_{32} \\
    B_{41} & B_{42} \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    \tau_1 \\
    \tau_2 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where
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\[ A_{31} = 0, \]
\[ A_{32} = gmz^2l^2 \mathcal{L}/(\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ A_{33} = -b_l \dot{J}_2 / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ A_{34} = -b_l m_x l_2 \mathcal{L} / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ A_{41} = 0, \]
\[ A_{42} = gmz^2l^2 \mathcal{L}_m / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ A_{43} = -b_l m_x l_2 \mathcal{L}_m / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ A_{44} = -b_l \dot{J}_0 / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ B_{31} = \dot{J}_2 / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ B_{41} = m_x l_2 \mathcal{L}_m / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ B_{32} = m_x l_2 \mathcal{L} / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2), \]
\[ B_{42} = \dot{J}_0 / (\dot{J}_0 \dot{J}_2 - m_x^2 \mathcal{L}^2 \dot{J}_2^2). \]

6.2 Downward Position

Linearising the expressions about the downward position:

\[ \hat{\dot{\theta}}_{er} = 0 \quad \hat{\dot{\theta}}_{ex} = 0 \quad \hat{\dot{\theta}}_{er} = 0 \quad \hat{\dot{\theta}}_{ex} = 0 \]

\[ \hat{\dot{A}}_{34} = -A_{34} \quad \hat{\dot{A}}_{42} = -A_{42} \quad \hat{\dot{A}}_{43} = -A_{43} \quad \hat{\dot{B}}_{32} = -B_{32} \quad \hat{\dot{B}}_{41} = -B_{41} \]

where the hat symbol indicates the downward position. All other terms of the state equation are the same as for the upright position.

7. LINEARISED STATE EQUATIONS FOR COUPLED MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

The Furuta pendulum is almost always driven via a DC servo-motor. The coupled linear differential equation for the mechanical pendulum system and the DC motor will now be derived. Let \( V \) be the voltage applied to the servo-motor and \( i \) the current flowing through the servo-motor, \( R_m \) the electrical resistance of the servo-motor, \( K_m \) the electromotive torque constant of the servo-motor (and is equal to the back emf constant for SI units), and \( L_m \) the electrical inductance of the servo-motor.
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The differential equation describing the electrical subsystem for a DC motor may be found using Kirchhoff’s law:

\[ L_m \dot{i} + R_m i + K_m \dot{\theta}_1 = V, \]

which may be arranged in terms of the derivative of the current \( \dot{i} = \frac{V - R_m i - K_m \dot{\theta}_1}{L_m} \).

The torque produced by the DC motor is

\[ \tau = K_m i. \]

Merging the previous linear state equations for the upright position and the above differential equation governing the DC motor gives the coupled electro-mechanical linear state equation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_1 \\
\dot{\theta}_2 \\
\dot{i}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} & B_{31} K_m \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} & B_{41} K_m \\
0 & 0 & -K_m & 0 & -R_m \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta_1 \\
\theta_2 \\
i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ + \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{1}{L_m}
\end{bmatrix} V + \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
B_{32} \\
B_{42}
\end{bmatrix} \tau_2. \]

8. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Consider the parameters of a Furuta pendulum within the School of Mechanical Engineering at The University of Adelaide, given by

\[ L_1 = 0.278 \text{m}, \ L_2 = 0.300 \text{m}, \ l_1 = 0.150 \text{m}, \ l_2 = 0.148 \text{m}, \ m_1 = 0.300 \text{kg}, \ m_2 = 0.075 \text{kg}, \ J_1 = 2.48 \times 10^{-2} \text{kg.m}^2, \]

\[ J_2 = 3.86 \times 10^{-3} \text{kg.m}^2, \ b_1 = 1.00 \times 10^{-4} \text{Nm}.s, \ b_2 = 2.80 \times 10^{-4} \text{Nm}.s, \ L = 0.005 \text{H}, \]

\[ R = 7.80 \Omega \text{ and } K_m = 0.990 \text{Nm.A}. \] Figure 2 provides a comparison between the non-linear response of the system when including the full inertia tensor (\( J_{2yz} = J_{2zy} = J_2 \)) and when neglecting the moment of inertia about the y-axis (\( J_{2yz} = J_2 \& J_{2zy} = 0 \)) such as found in [7] & [8]. Both systems are driven by an input of 10V to the motor. As
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expected, the model in which the additional inertia is neglected exhibits a slightly faster horizontal rotation rate (\( \dot{\theta}_1 \)). What is surprising is the influence the additional inertia has on the pendulum itself. With \( J_{2yy} = J_{2zz} = J_2 \) the centrifugal acceleration on the pendulum arm drives the arm horizontal (\( \theta_2 = 1.57 \text{ rad} \)) very quickly as soon as the angular velocity of Arm 1 (\( \dot{\theta}_1 \)) becomes non-zero. The other noticeable difference between the results from the two models is the natural frequency of Arm 2 is considerably higher with the additional inertial term. Although at first counter intuitive (as typically an increase in inertia results in a decrease in natural frequency), in this case the additional inertia creates significant (centrifugal) radial forces on Arm 2, which act to drive the arm horizontal. This strong restorative force increases the natural frequency (with increasing \( \dot{\theta}_1 \)). This simple example illustrates the importance the additional inertia term has, in particular, on aggressive swing-up controllers.
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Fig. 2. Response of the single rotary inverted pendulum system to a 10V step input. Solid line represents the plant with the full inertia tensor $J_{2yy} = J_{2zz} = J_2$, the dashed represents the plant only considering $J_{2zz}$ ($J_{2yy} = 0$).
On the dynamics of the Furuta pendulum

9. CONCLUSION

In this paper the full non-linear dynamics of the Furuta pendulum have been derived using two alternative methods; the Euler-Lagrange and iterative Newton-Euler. It is shown that the although the derived dynamics differs from all previous works, they all have the same general form which implies that previously published non-linear control laws are still valid for this system. However, caution is needed when neglecting certain inertial terms when employing aggressive controllers. Linearised expressions for both the upright and downward positions have been presented, as well as the coupled motor-pendulum equations.
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